EMI 2015, June 16-19, 2015, Stanford University

# Hydro-mechanical lattice approach for hydraulic fracture

Peter Grassl, Caroline Fahy, Simon Wheeler University of Glasgow, UK Domenico Gallipoli University of Pau, France

Reference: Grassl, Fahy, Gallipoli and Wheeler (2015), Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, Vol. 75, Feb. 2015, Pages 104–118

# Background

Hydraulic fracture: oil and gas extraction, failure of flood defense embankments, and earth and concrete dams, injection of sills and clastic dykes.

# Aim

Propose a coupled hydro-mechanical lattice approach for modelling fracture in saturated porous materials.

## Outline

2D lattice model

Elastic benchmark

Fracture analysis

**3D** Extension

### 2D lattice model

### Discretisation



References: Bolander and Saito (1998), Bolander and Berton (2004), Grassl (2009)



Assumptions for transport:

- Steady state conditions
- Independent of mechanical response
- Fluid is incompressible

## Boundaries





Approach 1

Approach 2

#### **Elastic Benchmark**

# Thick Walled Cylinder



Dimensionless variables:

 $\bar{r} = r/r_{\rm i}$  $\bar{r}_{\rm o} = r_{\rm o}/r_{\rm i}$  $\bar{P}_{\rm f} = P_{\rm f}/E_{\rm c}$  $\bar{P}_{\rm fi} = P_{\rm fi}/E_{\rm c}$ 

## Analytical solution

Transport

$$\bar{P}_{\rm f} = -\bar{P}_{\rm fi} \frac{\ln \frac{r}{\bar{r}_{\rm o}}}{\ln \bar{r}_{\rm o}}$$

#### Mechanical

$$\bar{u} = -b\bar{P}_{\rm fi}\frac{1-\nu^2}{2} \left[ \frac{\bar{r}_{\rm o}^2}{\bar{r}_{\rm o}^2-1} \left( \frac{1+\nu}{1-\nu}\frac{1}{\bar{r}} + \bar{r} \right) + \bar{r}\frac{\frac{1}{1+\nu} - \ln\bar{r}}{\ln\bar{r}_{\rm o}} \right] - (1-b)\bar{P}_{\rm fi}\frac{\bar{r}_{\rm o}^2}{\bar{r}_{\rm o}^2-1} \left( \frac{1+\nu}{\bar{r}} + \frac{\bar{r}(1-\nu)}{\bar{r}_{\rm o}^2} \right)$$

References: Grassl et al. (2015), Hill (1950), Shawki and Elwahi (1970)

## Lattice analysis



### Mechanical



#### Transport

**Boundary Approach 1** 

Input: 
$$\bar{r}_{o} = \frac{r_{o}}{r_{i}} = 7.25 \ \bar{d}_{min} = \frac{d_{min}}{r_{i}} = 0.123$$

Pressure



#### Displacement



## Fracture analysis





Input:  $\varepsilon_0 = 0.0001$  q = 2c = 20  $\bar{w}_{\rm f} = w_{\rm f}/r_{\rm i} = 0.00625$ 















## Size effect



Onset of cracking  $\bar{w}_{\rm f} \rightarrow 0$ 



#### Size effect



# Conclusions

Lattice analysis of the hydro-mechanical response of a thick-walled cylinder:

- Good agreement with the analytical solution for varying Biot's coefficient.
- Hydraulic fracturing is described mesh-size independently.
- Thick walled cylinder exhibits strong size effect which decreases with an increase in Biot's coefficient.

## 3D extension



Shrinkage induced cracking

# Acknowledgements

The numerical analyses are performed with OOFEM (www.oofem.org) extended by the authors.

The first and fourth authors acknowledge funding received from the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) under grant EP/I036427/1 and Radioactive Waste Management Limited.

# Scalar damage model

Stress-strain law

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{m}} = (1-\omega)\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{e}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ 

Loading function

$$f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},\kappa) = \varepsilon_{\mathrm{eq}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \kappa$$

Loading-unloading conditions  $f \le 0, \quad \dot{\kappa} \ge 0, \quad \dot{\kappa}f = 0$ 

Damage function

 $\omega = g_{\rm d}\left(\kappa\right)$ 

#### Equivalent strain definition

$$\varepsilon_{\rm eq}(\varepsilon_{\rm n},\varepsilon_{\rm s}) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0\left(1-c\right) + \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0(c-1)+\varepsilon_{\rm n}\right)^2 + \frac{c\gamma^2\varepsilon_{\rm s}^2}{q^2}}$$



# **Damage function**





Elements with  $\Delta D_{\rm d} > 0$ 

Elements with  $\Delta D_{\rm d} = 0$  and  $D_{\rm d} > 0$ 

## Mesh dependence



## Mesh dependence

